

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                      | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/657,832                           | 09/09/2003  | Sheila E. Johnson    | DMJ20301040         | 1747             |
| 7590 04/25/2005                      |             | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |
| Delphine M. James, Attorney- at -Law |             |                      | WEAVER              | R, SUE A         |
| Suite 170<br>2656 South Loop West    |             |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
| Houston, TX 77054                    |             |                      | 3727                |                  |

DATE MAILED: 04/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  |   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
|  |   | 10/657,832  | JOHNSON, SHEILA E.   |  |  |  |
|  | Office Action Summary   | Examiner  | Art Unit   |  |  |  |
|  |   | Sue A. Weaver   | 3727   |  |  |  |
| Period fo  | The MAILING DATE of this communica<br>or Reply  | tion appears on the cover sheet v   | vith the correspondence address  |  |  |  |
| THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any  | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA nasions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) do period for reply specified above, the maximum statute are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATION.  7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a cation.  ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of the complete of which was period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC, by statute, cause the application to become a | a reply be timely filed  irty (30) days will be considered timely.  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 1) 🗌   | Responsive to communication(s) filed of   | on  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a)  | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)   | ☑ This action is non-final.   |  |  |  |  |
| 3)□  | Since this application is in condition for  | allowance except for formal ma  | tters, prosecution as to the merits is   |  |  |  |
|  | closed in accordance with the practice  | under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.  | D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |  |  |  |
| Disposit   | ion of Claims   |   | , a see  |  |  |  |
| 4)🛛  | Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the app   | lication.   |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are  | withdrawn from consideration.   |  |  |  |  |
| 5) 🗌   | Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠  | Claim(s) 1 and 4-23 is/are rejected.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 7)⊠  | Claim(s) 2 and 3 is/are objected to.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 8)□  | Claim(s) are subject to restriction   | n and/or election requirement.  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicat   | ion Papers  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 9)🛛  | The specification is objected to by the E   | Examiner.   |  |  |  |  |
| 10)⊠   | The drawing(s) filed on 09 September 2  | 2003 is/are: a)  accepted or b)   | ⊠ objected to by the Examiner.   |  |  |  |
|  | Applicant may not request that any objection  | on to the drawing(s) be held in abey  | ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |  |  |  |
|  | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 11)  | The oath or declaration is objected to b  | y the Examiner. Note the attach   | ed Office Action or form PTO-152.  |  |  |  |
| Priority (   | under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |  |  |  |  |
| a)   | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority do  2. Certified copies of the priority do  3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International  | cuments have been received. cuments have been received in the priority documents have bee I Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  | Application No en received in this National Stage  |  |  |  |
| Attachmer  | nt/<)   |   |  |  |  |  |
|  | ce of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) Interview  | v Summary (PTO-413)  |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice | ce of Neferences Orlea (170-002) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT er No(s)/Mail Date 9/9/2003.  | 0-948) Paper N  | o(s)/Mail Date<br>f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/657,832 Page 2

Art Unit: 3727

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the use of terminology such as means. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "170" has been used to designate both an inlet and releasable fastening means. Furthermore "126" appears to have been used to identify different features in Figures 12 and 13. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/657,832

Art Unit: 3727

The drawings are objected to because lead line appears to be missing for "315". The lead lines for 229 and 331 appear to be misdirected n Figure 11. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be

Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office

canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and

made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency.

where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes

#### INFORMATION ON HOW TO EFFECT DRAWING CHANGES

action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

#### **Replacement Drawing Sheets**

Drawing changes must be made by presenting replacement sheets which incorporate the desired changes and which comply with 37 CFR 1.84. An explanation of the changes made must be presented either in the drawing amendments section, or remarks, section of the amendment paper. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). A replacement sheet must include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one

Page 3

Art Unit: 3727

figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of the amended drawing(s) must not be labeled as "amended." If the changes to the drawing figure(s) are not accepted by the examiner, applicant will be notified of any required corrective action in the next Office action. No further drawing submission will be required, unless applicant is notified.

Identifying indicia, if provided, should include the title of the invention, inventor's name, and application number, or docket number (if any) if an application number has not been assigned to the application. If this information is provided, it must be placed on the front of each sheet and within the top margin.

#### **Annotated Drawing Sheets**

A marked-up copy of any amended drawing figure, including annotations indicating the changes made, may be submitted or required by the examiner. The annotated drawing sheet(s) must be clearly labeled as "Annotated Sheet" and must be presented in the \_\_\_ amendment or remarks section that explains the change(s) to the drawings.

### **Timing of Corrections**

Applicant is required to submit acceptable corrected drawings within the time period set in the Office action. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Failure to take corrective action within the set period will result in ABANDONMENT of the application.

If corrected drawings are required in a Notice of Allowability (PTOL-37), the new drawings MUST be filed within the THREE MONTH shortened statutory period set for reply in the "Notice of Allowability." Extensions of time may NOT be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 for filing the corrected drawings after the mailing of a Notice of Allowability.

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: "170" appears to have been used to describe an inlet and releasable fastening means while "135" appears to have been used to describe both an opposed side and an open position. "115" appears to have been used to describe and upper panel and upper opening.

Appropriate correction is required.

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

. ~ ---

Application/Control Number: 10/657,832

Art Unit: 3727

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 7-9 and 13-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as \_\_\_\_\_ being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 7 recites the limitation "the bottom section" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 8 recites the limitation "the bottom panel" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claims 13 and 15 each recites the limitation "the back panel" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 16 recites the limitation "the upper panel" in line 3\*. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claims 17, 18 and 20 each recites the limitation "the at least one side panel" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.—Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bowen et al.

Art Unit: 3727

Note the cover 1 with a wheel opening in the bottom section at 2 and handle opening at 3 and between 6. Members 6 define the inlet means for receiving the wheeled luggage.

6. Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Moyer.

To have formed the luggage with recess to receive a bowling ball ans shoes in the manner taught by Moyer would have been most obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art.

7. Claims 1, 6, 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Meyers.

The opening at the bottom as shown in Figure 2 of Meyers is considered to be of a size sufficient for wheels or a luggage support. Note the opening at 4 for the handle.—

Members 7 define the inlet means.

8. Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Landes.

To have provided the covering with handle to aid in carrying the covering would-have been obvious in view of such teaching by Landes at 14 and 15.

9. Claims 7, 10, 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claims1 and 6 above, and further in view of Sackstein.

To have formed the covering with a reinforced bottom and attached side panels would have been obvious in view of such teaching by Sackstein. Depending on the

Application/Control Number: 10/657,832

Art Unit: 3727

orientation of the luggage which isn't always the same when carried as when rolled on wheels, the panels at 9 are considered to define back panels with fastening means at 10 and 11. The locations are at the sides and edges.

10. Claims 11, 12, 20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claims 1 and 10 above, and further in view of Foxcroft et al.

To have formed the inlet at the back panel or side panel in the manner of

Foxcroft et al at e and f would have been most obvious to one having ordinary skill in
the art. Sackstein shows the releasable fastening means at the side edges of the flaps

11. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the
references as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Allen.

To have formed the inlet with a flap which pivots upward at it's upper edge as taught by Allen at Figure 6 would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art.

12. Claims 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Battye.

To have formed the inlet as flaps in the side panel with fastening means would have been obvious in view of such teaching by Battye in Figure 1.

13. Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oh in \_\_\_ view of Battye.

Note the cover for the wheeled travel bag of Oh which has openings for the handle and wheels. Note also the fastening means at the sides of the back panel as

Application/Control Number: 10/657,832 Page 8

Art Unit: 3727

claimed. To have formed the upper panel as a pair of overlapping flaps with openings which align to receive the handle would have been obvious in view of such teaching by Battye in Figure 2.

- 14. Claims 2 and 3 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 15. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Porter, Williams et al, Bartscht et al, Dickinson, Haddock, Rubens, Amick, Freeman, Riceman, Schrecongost and Haberkorn are examples of travel covers.
- 16. The following are suggested formats for either a Certificate of Mailing or Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). The certification may be included with all correspondence concerning this application or proceeding to establish a date of mailing or transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). Proper use of this procedure will result in such communication being considered as timely if the established date is within the required period for reply. The Certificate should be signed by the individual actually depositing or transmitting the correspondence or by an individual who, upon information and belief, expects the correspondence to be mailed or transmitted in the normal course of business by another no later than the date indicated.

## **Certificate of Mailing**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:

| Commissioner for Patents  |
|---------------------------|
| P.O. Box 1450             |
| Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 |
|                           |

| on |       |  |
|----|-------|--|
| (  | Date) |  |

Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:

Application/Control Number: 10/657,832 Page 9

Art Unit: 3727

|         | Signature:  |
|---------|---|
|         |   |
|         | Registration Number:  |
|         | Certificate of Transmission   |
|         | I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office, Fax No. (703) on  (Date) |
|         | Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:   |
|         |   |
|         | Signature:  |
|         | Registration Number:  |
| facsim  | Please refer to 37 CFR 1.6(d) and 1.8(a)(2) for filing limitations concerning ille transmissions and mailing, respectively.                         |
| 17.     | Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the  |
| exami   | ner should be directed to Sue A. Weaver whose telephone number is 571 272-  |
| 4548.   | The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.   |
| _       | The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding  |
| is assi | igned is 703-872-9306.  |

Page 10

Application/Control Number: 10/657,832

Art Unit: 3727

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SW

- - ----